

## **Many Whistleblowers – Yet Nothing to be Heard**

### **The Sad Story of how a 30 year old Fraud has Affected our Society and Democracy**

**Every organisation with a footprint in the Great Global Warming Fraud has executed purges of those who disagreed with their actions. In addition, many jump ship to blow the whistle on fraud.**

**The UN, NIWA, NASA, NOAA, CSIRO and most OECD universities and Government agencies – all have had their whistleblowers and eventually, and although they now number in their hundreds, they are silenced by either their eventual death or disillusioned that there is no media outlet prepared to listen to their stories. (Well there are, but few in the mainstream media) The reason this is important is because every fraud has drivers who are motivated to commit a crime for one reason or another – always rational, sometimes political and often venal.**

### **For the Great Global Warming Fraud – how it has brought such strange bedfellows together**

#### **Some background**

The saga began in 1956 (almost 100 years after the first oil well was spudded in at Titusville in Pennsylvania) with the publication of the peak oil theories of the Chief Geologist for Shell oil Company in the USA, Dr Marion King Hubbert who presented a paper to a meeting of the American Petroleum Institute on Peak Oil. Oil wells typically began life during the first 100 years of global exploration as gushers with the release of pent-up pressure but with extraction came reduced flow from every well and every oil field. By the early 1950's the closure and remediation of oil fields in Texas was becoming a major issue. The world has huge volumes of such resources yet all are finite.

For the post WW2 globalists who were instrumental in the initial promotion and funding of the United Nations, it quickly became apparent that the depletion of finite resources of all kinds would become a burning issue for human civilisation. At the same time the billionaires were vexed with anti-trust legislation that sought to split their businesses up. Banking and Oil were then the main sources of wealth for globalists and taxation in multiple countries was becoming a hassle.

The post war US President Eisenhower had been alarmed by two things he had witnessed. The first was the atomic bomb and the reliance on scientists to create horrific weapons of mass destruction. The second was the rapidly escalating power of the military/industrial billionaires. He would have seen and understood the impact of “mad scientists” like Stalin’s protégé Trofim Lysenko in Russia. In Eisenhower’s retirement speech he warned against the aggregation of power and influence by a select few and the possible atrocities which could be caused by politicians influencing the direction of science for improper reasons.

Then only a handful of families owned a large slice of wealth and power, for example with the 3rd Baron Rothschild holding a top directorship in Royal Dutch Shell and the Rockefellers who owned the Standard Oil Empire. All wealthy industrialists were avid philanthropists. So it was natural that the issues of exponential population growth, resource depletion, pollution and conservation would become a concern for them all. In the 1960s a meeting was held at the Rothschild estate in Rome and arising out of that came the study and modelling performed at MIT in Boston by the late Dr Donella Meadows, Dr Jurgen Randers and Dr Denis Meadows. This was published in 1972 in the seminal book (available in most libraries) called simply, “Limits to Growth”.

2.

“Limits to Growth” was to my mind one of the most influential books of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. The modelling was revisited again after 30 years and the book re-published in 2004. It has since been updated and its findings so sensational that they now form the justification for many avenues of political action.

**Disclosure....**

*I am an avid fan of what the book contains but reserve my opinion on the way its recommendations are interpreted to suit certain vested interests. For the last 20 years I have been an energy investor and have been research based. I publish newsletters under the alias “Energy Investor” specialising in renewable energy projects, materials and technologies. My investment bias is towards the technologies to take our civilisation from fossil fuels as soon as we are ready to adopt genuinely sustainable renewable alternatives. I endorse what Dr Fatih Birol of the IEA says, “We must leave oil before oil leaves us”. If we fail to make this transition before 2100, I believe our civilisation will go the way of the Mayans. My interest in this fraud arose because it is the single biggest obstruction to finding the best path forward. Maximising the energy return on energy invested (“EROEI”) from our resources and technology has made us all rich and dispelled poverty. Oil gas and coal no longer cut it. To lose what we have using immature faux renewables is suicidal. So we must do better.*

The group of globalist billionaires funding the modelling became known as the Club of Rome. Still limited to a membership 100 of the most influential billionaires, politicians and scientists in the OECD, one of its members, the late Maurice Strong was instrumental in writing the charter and in the establishment of the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (“UN IPCC”). The second UN IPCC article, which gave them their legitimate reason for their fraud was to work out what dysfunctional effects humans were having on the climate. The problem is, there was none!

Because the UN IPCC is now hostage to the Global Socialist Movement, the original purpose has been lost. The unsupported and unsupportable theory that human “carbon emissions cause global warming” has become set in stone and is being supported by billionaires and politicians alike who remain totally unaware that their humanitarian and philanthropic objectives have been hijacked.

While the objectives of people like the UN Secretary General, Senor Antonio Guterres (who beat out our past PM Helen Clark, is a former Head of Socialist International and member of the Club de Madrid, for his international work history and because of his superior credentials running the Socialist government of Portugal) and Christiana Figueres ( who became Executive Secretary of the [UN Framework Convention on Climate Change](#) (UNFCCC) in July 2010) have had a focus on the elimination of capitalism in order for it to be replaced with socialism. Whether they are unaware of the fact that human emissions have no impact on climate change or not, their allegiance to the creation of the UN as one world government and the advancement of global socialism indicates their possible if not probable bias.

In that respect they have a kindred spirit in both Helen Clark and Jacinda Ardern. Past PM Clark is a member of the Club de Madrid as is Dame Jenny Shipley (no point in going any further down that rabbit hole IMHO). PM Jacinda Ardern is a past President of the [International Union of Socialist Youth](#) and obviously either shares the ambitions of Guterres and Figueres or else she must have recanted her Communist/Socialist leanings without my knowledge.

3.

The common interests of the global socialist movement allied to the heavy US Democratic Party membership of the Club of Rome provides a link to the common interests of the “Globalist Billionaires” who shall be nameless (all are anxious to figure out a way to pay one tax rate instead of 300 different taxes in 150 countries and tax regimes) and it appears that both seek to reinforce the role of the UN to become one world government using a global “carbon tax” to fund UN activities.

Sadly, certain of the “Globalist Billionaires” now own the so-called free press. Coordinated by the media group owned by one of their number (and as a result who is banned from six countries), there is now a global prohibition on the publication of anything that could cast any doubt on the unsupported and scientifically unsupportable “Anthropogenic Global Warming Theory”. For this reason the deliberate lie that the cause of climate change “is settled science” is now set in stone.

The most garrulous of the former Democratic US presidents is without doubt Past President Jimmy Carter. From time to time he has extolled the virtues of the “New World Order” that he and others (including royalty) wish to set in place. A few others share the same aspirations publicly. When they gather in Davos or elsewhere they don’t appear to be secretive about their ambitions.

Anyone who has read my email about the activities of the Russians, Chinese and India in preparing for the coming change in climate (because it always changes) will realise that this puts leaders like Xi, Modi and Putin on the outer. POTUS Trump is an outlier. In the USA he is captive to the two party politics but the “Trump Derangement Syndrome” exhibited by the mainstream media including CNN, MSNBC, Washington Post New York Times and the UK’s Guardian verges on the nonsensical. POTUS Trump is somewhat inclined to be a buffoon, but the reporting of his impeachment hearings was a disgrace – not just internationally but also in New Zealand. I watched the progress of the Congressional hearing on YouTube and while no “witnesses” actually had anything more than hearsay, our media along with the rest suggested every hearing day was full of evidence of his guilt. To me it spelled the arrival of “1984” and the end of the free press.

What did it say to Putin and Xi? Well I don’t know but I can take a guess. On “Russia Today” in late 2019, appeared an interview of Foreign Minister, Sergei Lavrov. He was asked about the threats facing the Russian state. He candidly responded that the worst threat to the Russian Federation was posed by “The New World Order”. This shows the sheer power of the fraudsters, not just our own.

Because I need to do so, I will continue to decry the fakery and fraud regarding the absence of any role for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>O in climate change. And I will leave you with this thought... I started to research this matter, despite having myself promoted the theory behind Anthropogenic Global Warming, because a whistleblower from NIWA told me that what he had said to my Rotary Club on behalf of Dr David Wratt of NIWA was wrong and he was leaving because he could not accept the abuse of science. Similarly, even Dr Harrison Schmitt (the last man to walk on the moon) was ignored by the media when he and 40 other NASA astronauts and scientists wrote to the head of NASA to complain about NASA’s complicity in the fraud. This is testament to the power of forces behind the cover up. Even so, a fraud is still a fraud, despite the abuse of power in high places.

John Rofe, (aka “Energy Investor”), Private Fraud Investigator

Auckland,

12 July 2020